

## Schena, Cristeen

---

**From:** Voorhees, Mark  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 13, 2014 5:19 PM  
**To:** Halter, Emily; Webster, David; Perkins, Stephen  
**Cc:** Weiss, Kevin; Tanning, Barry; Kosco, John; Billah, Mohammed  
**Subject:** RE: Follow up on Integrated Plan Scope of Work  
**Attachments:** WorkPlan\_Burlington-VT-IP 11-10-14 MV.docx

Dear Emily and All:

Please find attached some comments and suggestions on the 11/10 draft work plan from David Webster and myself. Some of our comments relate to the work that has been done for the ongoing Lake Champlain TMDL analysis. This is fortunate because there will be significant amounts of information generated for the TMDL that should be directly applicable for this project in Burlington. In addition, I believe significant TMDL related work has been done on evaluating WWTF P loading and costs for upgrading facilities. I was not personally involved with this information but its availability may make including task 4 more feasible. Perhaps the task could mention that it will be based primarily on the current information available from the TMDL work. Given that Burlington has two WWTFs, a highly active CSO treatment facility that discharges high P loads and significant separate stormwater it would be nice to include Task 4 for the IMP analysis.

I figured that once the project started rolling I would collect and provide some of the relevant TMDL information.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ashton, Emily  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 13, 2014 10:15 AM  
**To:** Webster, David; Voorhees, Mark; Perkins, Stephen  
**Cc:** Weiss, Kevin; Tanning, Barry; Kosco, John; Billah, Mohammed  
**Subject:** FW: Follow up on Integrated Plan Scope of Work

David, Mark, and Stephen,

A follow up to Burlington's workplan:

As you're aware, the city was most keen on pursuing the financial capability assessment outlined in their letter of interest. However, OECA and others at HQ did not feel comfortable providing technical assistance on this task. Below is Megan's feedback on what the City's preferences are in terms of the remaining tasks. They would like to focus on task 2 (develop a community criteria for comparing and ranking projects) and task 4 (WWTP P-reduction alternatives case study), and perhaps task 3 (develop project examples of high ranking projects on the criteria).

Currently the draft workplan includes task 2 and 3, but not task 4. What are your thoughts on adding task 4 - the WWTP P-reduction alternatives case study? Something to consider is that there may not be the budget to do all three tasks, so adding the alternatives case study may bump out task 3 from the work plan.

Barry,

What is the potential of incorporating Task 4 into Burlington's workplan? Is there enough funding to do all three tasks or likely one would have to be dropped?

Thanks everyone,  
Emily

Emily Ashton  
ORISE Participant  
Office of Wastewater Management  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
ashton.emily@epa.gov  
202-564-3324

-----Original Message-----

From: Weiss, Kevin  
Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2014 8:09 AM  
To: Ashton, Emily  
Subject: FW: Follow up on Integrated Plan Scope of Work

-----Original Message-----

From: Megan Moir [mailto:mmoir@burlingtonvt.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2014 8:06 AM  
To: Weiss, Kevin  
Subject: Re: Follow up on Integrated Plan Scope of Work

Hi Kevin,

Given the fact that we won't necessarily be looking at finances for this project, let's focus on 2 and 4 (and maybe 3). Perhaps as part of 4 we could examine some typical costs of alternatives to WWTP upgrades (ie cost/gallon of Stormwater managed and of course how many gallons must be managed to = 1 lb of Phosphorus.

I am out of the office for much of the day today but could chat tomorrow if you need clarification

Sent from my StormwateriPhone

> On Nov 10, 2014, at 1:33 PM, "Weiss, Kevin" <Weiss.Kevin@epa.gov> wrote:  
>

> Megan:

>

> I wanted to follow up on my voice mail from last week – unfortunately we will not be able to provide technical assistance for Burlington’s financial capability assessment. However, Task 1 of your letter of interest suggested technical support to “examine possibility of an income sensitive rate structure to minimize impact to low income.” We would be able provide technical support for this. Your email below didn’t specifically mention looking at rate structures – would you be interested in looking at income sensitive rate structures or would you rather have us focus on tasks 2 and 4 (and perhaps 3)?

>

> Thanks

>

> Kevin

>

> From: Megan Moir [mailto:mmoir@burlingtonvt.gov]

> Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2014 12:39 PM

> To: Weiss, Kevin

> Cc: Ashton, Emily; Laurie Adams

> Subject: Follow up on Integrated Plan Scope of Work

>

> Hello,

> I am just checking in on the workplan development.

>

> I am still waiting (just sent out a follow up email and left vm’s with our community and economic development staff person) on the question of available data for the financial capability assessment and will email you as soon as we know what data is available as I think that will dictate which financial/community characteristics we would want to include as part of our financial capability assessment.

>

> However, I wasn’t sure if you needed anything additional regarding the development of the rest of the work-plan as I hadn’t seen any email traffic/follow up. (I would also still love a contact list if one has been generated).

>

> Having had some additional inside discussion regarding our proposed tasks, we are most eager to pursue our financial capability assessment(task 1), the stakeholder process for the development of our community prioritization matrix (task 2) and the case study re: alternative measures for meeting any P-reductions that might be assigned to our Main WWTP as part of the Lake Champlain TMDL (Task 4. While we are interested in item 3 – the project templates, that is probably something that we could pursue on our own. And/or we could do task 2 on our own, and have EPA help with the task 3 templates.

>

> Of course, we are open to whatever EPA feels would be most beneficial to the larger “transferrable” practice effort.

>

> We look forward to chatting with you.

>

> Megan

>

> Megan Moir, CPESC, CPSWQ  
> Stormwater Program Manager  
> Burlington Public Works Department  
> C (802) 734.4595  
> P (802) 540.1748  
> Email: [mmoir@burlingtonvt.gov](mailto:mmoir@burlingtonvt.gov)<<mailto:mmoir@burlingtonvt.gov>>  
> <http://www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW/>  
> facebook: <http://www.facebook.com/BTVDPW>  
> twitter: @btvdpw  
>  
> Office Address:  
> 234 Penny Lane  
> Burlington, VT 05401  
> (in the Water Treatment building near the Moran Plant)  
> Mailing:  
> PO Box 878  
> Burlington, VT 05402  
>  
> ~ Unless someone like you cares a whole awful lot, nothing is going to  
> get better. It's not ~ -The Lorax (Dr. Seuss)-  
>  
> <1-05 City of Burlington, VT - Water Resources Div.pdf>